

CDA-AMC REIMBURSEMENT REVIEW

Stakeholder Feedback on Draft Recommendation

dabrafenib-trametinib

(non-sponsored review)

Indication: in pediatric and young adult patients for 1st line or greater therapy in low grade gliomas with residual disease and with known BRAF V600 mutations.

Apr 29, 2025

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this submission are those of the submitting organization or individual. As such, they are independent of CDA-AMC and do not necessarily represent or reflect the view of CDA-AMC. No endorsement by CDA-AMC is intended or should be inferred.

By filing with CDA-AMC, the submitting organization or individual agrees to the full disclosure of the information. CDA-AMC does not edit the content of the submissions.

CDA-AMC does use reasonable care to prevent disclosure of personal information in posted material; however, it is ultimately the submitter's responsibility to ensure no identifying personal information or personal health information is included in the submission. The name of the submitting stakeholder group and all conflicts of interest information from individuals who contributed to the content are included in the posted submission.

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Interested party			
information			
Project number	PX0375-000		
Brand name (generic)	Dabrafenib-trametinib		
Indication(s)	For the treatment of pediatric and young adult patients for first		
	greater therapy of low-grade gliomas with residual disease ar	nd with	1
	known BRAF V600 mutations.		
Organization Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) - CNS Drug Advisory Committee			
	(DAC)		
Contact information ^a	Dr. Sunit Das, Lead, CNS DAC		
Interested party agreeme	nt with the draft recommendation		
1. Does the interested no	sty agree with the committee's recommendation	Yes	\boxtimes
1. Does the interested par	rty agree with the committee's recommendation.	No	
Whenever possible, pleas	terested party agrees or disagrees with the draft recommendation e identify the specific text from the recommendation and rational cribe dabrafenib and trametinib to patients with newly-diagnosed	le.	
	ered low grade glioma. These patients clearly benefit from upfror dard of care for this disease.	nt targ	eted
Expert committee conside	eration of the input		
Expert committee considerate 2. Does the recommendate	·	Yes	
	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendate that your organization If not, what aspects are m	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input		
2. Does the recommendate that your organization	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? hissing from the draft recommendation?		
2. Does the recommendate that your organization part of the draft recommendate that your organization part of the draft recommendate that your organization part of the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate that your organization part of the draft recommendate that your organization part of the draft recommendate that your organization part of the draft recommendate that your organization part or the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate the draft recommendate the draft recommendate that you or the draft	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? nissing from the draft recommendation? mendation		
2. Does the recommendate that your organization plant in the state of the draft recommendate that your organization plant in the state of the draft recommendate that your organization plant is stated in the state of the draft recommendate that your organization plant is stated in the stated in t	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? hissing from the draft recommendation?	No	
2. Does the recommendate that your organization plants of the draft recommendate that your organization plants of the draft recommendate that your organization plants of the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendate that your organization plants or the draft recommendate that you or the draft recommendat	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? nissing from the draft recommendation? mendation	No Yes	\boxtimes
2. Does the recommendate that your organization plants of the draft recommendate of the draft re	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? hissing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated?	No Yes	\boxtimes
2. Does the recommendate that your organization plants are modes. If not, what aspects are modes. Clarity of the draft recommendation? 3. Are the reasons for the limit of the modes. If not, please provide details the recommendation?	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? hissing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? hils regarding the information that requires clarification. on issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendate that your organization plants are modes. If not, what aspects are modes. Clarity of the draft recommendation? 3. Are the reasons for the limit of the modes. If not, please provide details the recommendation?	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? hissing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? hils regarding the information that requires clarification.	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendate that your organization of the that your of the draft recommendation? 3. Are the reasons for the organization of the that your organization of the that your organization organization organization organization. If not, please provide details of the that your organization or the your organization or the that your organization or the your organization or the your organization or the your organization or the your organization or your organization o	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? inissing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? inits regarding the information that requires clarification. on issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in inits regarding the information that requires clarification. inits regarding the information that requires clarification.	Yes No	
2. Does the recommendate that your organization provided in the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second secon	tion demonstrate that the committee has considered the input provided? inissing from the draft recommendation? mendation recommendation clearly stated? inits regarding the information that requires clarification. on issues been clearly articulated and adequately addressed in inits regarding the information that requires clarification. inits regarding the information that requires clarification.	Yes No Yes No	

^a CDA-AMC may contact this person if comments require clarification.

Appendix 1. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Patient Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CDA-AMC drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CDA-AMC may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.

A. Patient G	Froup Information					
Name	Please state full name					
Position	Please state currently held position					
Date	Please add the date form was d					
	☐ I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this patient group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this patient group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.					
B. Assistan	ce with Providing Feedback					
4 Did you	respire help from outside you	r notiont arou	n to complete v	our foodbook?	No	
1. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to complete y	our reedback?	Yes	
If yes, pleas	e detail the help and who provide	ed it.				
2. Did you	receive help from outside you	r patient grou	p to collect or a	nalyze any	No	
informa	ition used in your feedback?				Yes	
, , ,	e detail the help and who provide					
	ly Disclosed Conflict of Interes					
	onflict of interest declarations ed at the outset of the review a				No	
	ged? If no, please complete se			emained	Yes	
	Ipdated Conflict of Interest Dec		-			
						- 11
3. List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.						
Check Appropriate Dollar Range						
Company	\$0 to 5,000 \$5,001 to \$10,001 to In Excess of \$50,000 \$50,000			s of		
Add compar	ny name				[]
Add compar	ny name					
Add or remo	ove rows as required					

Appendix 2. Conflict of Interest Declarations for Clinician Groups

- To maintain the objectivity and credibility of the CDA-AMC drug review programs, all participants in the drug review processes must disclose any real, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.
- This conflict of interest declaration is required for participation. Declarations made do not negate or preclude the use of the feedback from patient groups and clinician groups.
- CDA-AMC may contact your group with further questions, as needed.
- Please see the Procedures for Drug Reimbursement Reviews for further details.
- For conflict of interest declarations:
 - Please list any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.
 - Please note that declarations are required for each clinician that contributed to the input.
 - If your clinician group provided input at the outset of the review, only conflict of interest declarations that are new or require updating need to be reported in this form. For all others, please list the clinicians who provided input are unchanged
 - Please add more tables as needed (copy and paste).
 - All new and updated declarations must be included in a single document.

A. Assistance with Providing the Feedback		
2. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to complete this submission?	No	
	Yes	\boxtimes
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) provided secretariat support to complete this submission.		
3. Did you receive help from outside your clinician group to collect or analyze any	No	\boxtimes
information used in this submission?	Yes	
If yes, please detail the help and who provided it.		
B. Previously Disclosed Conflict of Interest		
4. Were conflict of interest declarations provided in clinician group input that was	No	\boxtimes
submitted at the outset of the review and have those declarations remained unchanged? If no, please complete section C below.	Yes	
If yes, please list the clinicians who contributed input and whose declarations have not changed:		
Clinician 1		
Clinician 2		
Add additional (as required)		

C. New or Updated Conflict of Interest Declarations

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 1				
Name	Dr. Sunit Das				
Position	Lead, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) -CNS Drug Advisory Committee				
Date	22-04-2025				
\boxtimes	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

Check Appropriate Dollar Range			ge	
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000
Add company name				
Add company name				
Add or remove rows as required				

New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician 2
Name	Dr. Garth Nicholas
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) – CNS Drug Advisory Committee
Date	21-04-2025
×	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Add company name					
Add company name					
Add or remove rows as required					

New or Up	New or Updated Declaration for Clinician 3				
Name	Dr. Mary Jane Lim-Fat				
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cancer Care Ontario) – CNS Drug Advisory Committee				
Date	21-04-2025				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				

Conflict of Interest Declaration

List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.

	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				
Company	\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to 10,000	\$10,001 to 50,000	In Excess of \$50,000	
Novocure		\boxtimes			
Servier		\boxtimes			

Add or rem	Add or remove rows as required				
New or Up	dated Declaration for Clinician	4			
Name	Dr. Seth Climans				
Position	Member, Ontario Health (Cance	er Care Ontario) – CNS Drug Ad	lvisory Committee	,
Date	21-04-2025				
	I hereby certify that I have the authority to disclose all relevant information with respect to any matter involving this clinician or clinician group with a company, organization, or entity that may place this clinician or clinician group in a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest situation.				
Conflict of Interest Declaration					
List any companies or organizations that have provided your group with financial payment over the past two years AND who may have direct or indirect interest in the drug under review.					
	Check Appropriate Dollar Range				je
Company		\$0 to 5,000	\$5,001 to	\$10,001 to	In Excess of

10,000

50,000

\$50,000

Add company name

Add company name

Add or remove rows as required

CDA-AMC Reimbursement Review

Feedback on Draft Recommendation

Stakeholder information	
CDA-AMC project number	PX0375
Name of the drug and	Dabrafenib-Trametinib for pediatric and young adult patients for
Indication(s)	first line or greater therapy of low-grade gliomas with residual
	disease and with known BRAF V600 mutations.
Organization Providing	OWG (PAG)
Feedback	

1. Recommendation revisions Please indicate if the stakeholder requires the expert review committee to reconsider or clarify its recommendation. Request for Reconsideration Major revisions: A change in recommendation category or patient population is requested Minor revisions: A change in reimbursement conditions is requested Editorial revisions: Clarifications in recommendation text are requested Reconsideration

2. Change in recommendation category or conditions Complete this section if major or minor revisions are requested

No requested revisions

Please identify the specific text from the recommendation and provide a rationale for requesting a change in recommendation.

3. Clarity of the recommendation

Complete this section if editorial revisions are requested for the following elements

a) Recommendation rationale

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

b) Reimbursement conditions and related reasons

Please provide details regarding the information that requires clarification.

c) Implementation guidance

Please provide high-level details regarding the information that requires clarification. You can provide specific comments in the draft recommendation found in the next section. Additional implementation questions can be raised here.

Χ

Outstanding Implementation Issues

In the event of a positive draft recommendation, drug programs can request further implementation support from CDA-AMC on topics that cannot be addressed in the reimbursement review (e.g., concerning other drugs, without sufficient evidence to support a recommendation, etc.). Note that outstanding implementation questions can also be posed to the expert committee in Feedback section 4c.

Algorithm and implementation questions

- 1. Please specify sequencing questions or issues that should be addressed by CDA-AMC (oncology only)
- 1.
- 2.
- 2. Please specify other implementation questions or issues that should be addressed by CDA-AMC
- 1. OWG requested a budget impact analysis for this treatment.
- 2.

Support strategy

3. Do you have any preferences or suggestions on how CDA-AMC should address these issues?

May include implementation advice panel, evidence review, provisional algorithm (oncology), etc.