

November 2016

Drug	rotigotine (Neupro)			
Indication	Treatment of the signs and symptoms of idiopathic Parkinson disease. Neupro may be used both as early therapy, without concomitant levodopa, and as an adjunct to levodopa.			
Reimbursement request	List with similar criteria as pramipexole and ropinirole			
Manufacturer	UCB Canada Inc.			

The rotigotine (Neupro) Common Drug Review Pharmacoeconomic Report was prepared using PharmaStat data from IMS Health Canada Inc. The analyses, conclusions, opinions and statements expressed are those of the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) and not those of IMS Health Canada Inc.

This report was prepared by the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH). Through the Common Drug Review (CDR) process, CADTH undertakes reviews of drug submissions, resubmissions, and requests for advice, and provides formulary reimbursement recommendations to all Canadian publicly funded federal, provincial, and territorial drug plans, with the exception of Quebec.

The report contains an evidence-based clinical and/or pharmacoeconomic drug review, based on published and unpublished material, including manufacturer submissions; studies identified through independent, systematic literature searches; and patient-group submissions. In accordance with <u>CDR Update — Issue 87</u>, manufacturers may request that confidential information be redacted from the CADTH CDR Clinical and Pharmacoeconomic Review Reports.

The information in this report is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. The information in this report should not be used as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment with respect to the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process, nor is it intended to replace professional medical advice. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of this document to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete, and up-to-date as of the date of publication, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in the source documentation. CADTH is not responsible for any errors or omissions or injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the information in this document or in any of the source documentation.

This document is intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. Other health care systems are different; the issues and information related to the subject matter of this document may be different in other jurisdictions and, if used outside of Canada, it is at the user's risk. This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

CADTH takes sole responsibility for the final form and content of this document, subject to the limitations noted above. The statements and conclusions in this document are those of CADTH and not of its advisory committees and reviewers. The statements, conclusions, and views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of Health Canada or any Canadian provincial or territorial government. Production of this document is made possible by financial contributions from Health Canada and the governments of Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Saskatchewan, and Yukon.

You are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH. You may not otherwise copy, modify, translate, post on a website, store electronically, republish, or redistribute any material from this document in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of CADTH.

Please contact CADTH's Vice-President of Corporate Services at corporateservices@cadth.ca with any inquiries about this notice or other legal matters relating to CADTH's services.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABB	BREVIATIONS	ii
SUN	MMARY	iii
REV	VIEW OF THE PHARMACOECONOMIC SUBMISSION	1
1.	Introduction	
2.		3
3.	•	
4.		5
5.	Conclusions	
APP	PENDIX 1: COMMON DRUG REVIEW ANALYSIS FOR PRICE REDUCTION SCENARIOS	6
REF	FERENCES	8
Tab	ples	
Tab	ole 1: Cost Comparison Table For Drugs in Early and Advanced Idiopathic Parkinson disease	1
Tab	ole 2: Common Drug Review Analysis For Price Reduction Scenarios For Rotigotine	7

ABBREVIATIONS

APD advanced Parkinson disease

CDR Common Drug Review

DA dopamine agonist

EPD early Parkinson diseaseNMA network meta-analysisODB Ontario Drug BenefitPD Parkinson disease

SUMMARY

Rotigotine (Neupro) is a transdermal delivery system (patch) available in the following strengths: 2 mg per 24 hours, 4 mg per 24 hours, 6 mg per 24 hours, and 8 mg per 24 hours. The manufacturer submitted the following prices: \$3.54 (2 mg), \$6.50 (4 mg), and \$7.27 (6 mg and 8 mg) per patch, or \$3.54 to \$7.27 per day for the treatment of early Parkinson disease (EPD) and \$6.50 to \$14.54 per day for advanced Parkinson disease (APD). The manufacturer submitted a cost-minimization analysis, considering only drug costs, based on the assumption of similar efficacy among rotigotine, pramipexole, and ropinirole from the results of a network meta-analysis (NMA). The NMA showed that, for both EPD and APD, the efficacy of rotigotine, ropinirole, and pramipexole appeared similar at 11 to 16 weeks and 24 to 28 weeks after completion of the titration period. It is not clear if the findings of the NMA can be generalized to a longer time period, or to a population using different doses from those used in the clinical trials. Furthermore, the NMA did not assess the comparative safety profile of rotigotine with that of pramipexole and ropinirole.

At recommended doses, rotigotine (2 mg per 24 hours to 8 mg per 24 hours in EPD, and 4 mg per 24 hours to 16 mg per 24 hours in APD) is more expensive than generic pramipexole (1.5 mg to 4.5 mg daily, \$0.79 to \$2.37) and generic ropinirole (3 mg to 24 mg daily, \$0.85 to \$4.37), as well as other drugs used for the treatment of EPD and APD, such as oral levodopa-decarboxylase inhibitor combinations (\$0.84 to \$8.00 daily), entacapone (\$0.40 to \$3.21 daily), or monoamine oxidase B inhibitors (\$1.00 to \$7.00 daily). Consequently, the listing of rotigotine would result in additional costs.

The expected average maintenance doses of rotigotine used in the manufacturer's base-case scenario were likely underestimated, especially in APD. A Common Drug Review analysis showed that the price of rotigotine would need to be reduced by 51% to 88% to be equal to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in EPD, and by 78% to 89% to be equal to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in APD.

REVIEW OF THE PHARMACOECONOMIC SUBMISSION

1. INTRODUCTION

Rotigotine (Neupro), a non-ergolinic dopamine agonist (DA), is indicated for the treatment of the signs and symptoms of idiopathic Parkinson disease (PD). Rotigotine may be used both as early therapy, without concomitant levodopa, and as an adjunct to levodopa.¹ Rotigotine is a transdermal delivery system (patch) and is available in the following strengths: 2 mg per 24 hours (\$3.54), 4 mg per 24 hours (\$6.50), 6 mg per 24 hours (\$7.27), and 8 mg per 24 hours (\$7.27). At the submitted prices, the daily cost per patient is \$3.54 to \$7.27 for the treatment of early Parkinson disease (EPD), and \$6.50 to \$14.54 for advanced Parkinson disease (APD).²

Cost Comparison Table

Clinical experts have deemed the comparator treatments presented in Table 1 to be appropriate. Comparators may be recommended (appropriate) practice versus actual practice. Comparators are not restricted to drugs, but may be devices or procedures. Costs are manufacturer list prices, unless otherwise specified.

TABLE 1: COST COMPARISON TABLE FOR DRUGS IN EARLY AND ADVANCED IDIOPATHIC PARKINSON DISEASE

Drug / Comparator	Strength	Form	Price (\$)	Recommended Daily Dose ^a	Daily Drug Cost (\$)	Annual Cost (\$)		
Non-ergolinic DAs (Monotherapy in EPD or Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD)								
Rotigotine (Neupro)	2 mg/24 hours 4 mg/24 hours 6 mg/24 hours 8 mg/24 hours	patch	3.5400 ^b 6.5000 ^b 7.2700 ^b 7.2700 ^b	EPD: 2 mg to 8 mg APD: 4 mg to 16 mg	3.54 to 7.27 6.50 to 14.54	1,292 to 2,654 2,372 to 5,307		
Pramipexole (Generics)	0.25 mg 0.50 mg 1 mg 1.5 mg	tablet	0.2628 1.0514 ^e 0.5257 0.5257	1.5 mg to 4.5 mg in 3 equal doses Average dose in EPD: 1.5 mg to 3 mg ^f Average dose in APD: 3 mg to 3.75 mg ^f	0.79 ^c to 2.37 ^d EPD: 0.79 to 2.37 APD: 1.58 to 2.37	284 to 865 EPD: 284		
Ropinirole (Generics)	0.25 mg 1 mg 2 mg 5 mg	tablet	0.0710 0.2838 0.3122 0.8596	3 mg to 24 mg in 3 equal doses Average dose in EPD: 7 mg to 9 mg ^f Average dose in APD: 10 mg to 15 mg ^f	0.85 to 4.37 EPD: 1.15 ⁸ to 79 APD: 2.11 ^h to 2.58	310 to 1,595 EPD: 420 to 653 APD: 730 to 941		

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health

CDR PHARMACOECONOMIC REVIEW REPORT FOR NEUPRO

Benserazide (Prolopa) 200 mg/50 mg capsule (200 mg/50 mg 200 mg/50 mg capsule (200 mg/50 mg 200 mg/50 mg 200 mg/50 mg capsule (200 mg/50 mg 200 mg/50 mg 200 mg 200 mg 200 mg 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 200 mg 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 200	Drug / Comparator	Strength	Form	Price (\$)	Recommended Daily Dose ^a	Daily Drug Cost (\$)	Annual Cost (\$)	
Levodopa								
Carbidopa (Generics) 100 mg/25 mg 250 mg/25 mg 250 mg/25 mg 250 mg/25 mg 250 mg/25 mg 200 mg/50 mg 200 mg/50 mg tablet 0.2830 mg of levodopa in 2 to 4 daily doses 2,920 mg/50 mg tablet 0.2830 200 mg to 1,600 mg of levodopa in 2 to 4 daily doses 2,920 mg/50 mg tablet 0.2830 200 mg to 800 mg 1.86 to 3.73 679 to 2,920 mg/50 mg 200 mg/50 mg capsule 0.2830 of levodopa daily in 4 to 6 doses 0.4050 mg 0.40 to 3.21 146 to 3.73 1,361 mg/50 mg 200 mg / 50 mg tablet 0.4010 200 mg to 1,600 mg daily in multiple doses 12.5 mg/200 mg 12.5 mg/200 mg 12.5 mg/200 mg 12.5 mg/200 mg 13.75 mg/200 mg 13.75 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg 37.5 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg/ 37.5 mg/								
Company Comp		j	tablet		· · ·	0.64 (0 1.66	307 10 666	
100 mg/25 mg 200 mg/50 mg d release 1.0000 mg of levodopa in 2 to 4 daily doses 1.86 to 3.73 679 to 2,920	•	j						
Levodopa/ S0 mg/12.5 mg capsule 0.2830 0.400 mg to 800 mg 1.86 to 3.73 679 to 1.361	(Generies)	<u> </u>	controlle		·	1 03 to 8 00	374 to	
Levodopa					•	1.03 to 0.00		
Levodopa		200 1116/ 30 1116		1.0000			2,320	
Benserazide (Prolopa) 200 mg/50 mg capsule capsule capsule 0.4659 0.7821 in 4 to 6 doses 1,361	Levodopa/	50 mg/12.5 mg		0.2830		1.86 to 3.73	679 to	
COMT Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Entacapone	-		-	0.4659	_		1,361	
Entacapone Common	(Prolopa)		capsule	0.7821	in 4 to 6 doses			
Carbidopa S0 mg Tablet 1.6644 600 mg to 1,600 4.99 to 13.32 1,821 to 13.40 1.862 to 13.40 1.8644 600 mg to 1,600 4.99 to 13.32 1,821 to 13.40 1.862 to	COMT Inhibito	ors (in Combination	with Levod	opa/Decarbo	oxylase Inhibitor in AP	D)		
Levodopa/ S0 mg/ tablet 1.6644 600 mg to 1,600 4.99 to 13.32 1,821 to mg of entacapone daily in multiple doses	Entacapone ⁱ	200 mg	tablet	0.4010	200 mg to 1,600	0.40 to 3.21	146 to	
Levodopa	(Generics)				mg daily in		1171	
Carbidopa/ 12.5 mg/200 mg of entacapone 4,862					multiple doses			
Entacapone (Stalevo) mg 100 mg/ 25 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline (Azilect) Rasagiline (Stalevo) Rasagiline (Stalevo) Mag daily in multiple doses doses doses 18.75 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/20	Levodopa/	50 mg/	tablet	1.6644	600 mg to 1,600	4.99 to 13.32	1,821 to	
(Stalevo) 75 mg/ 18.75 mg/200 mg 100 mg/ 25 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline (Azilect) 1 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555	Carbidopa/	12.5 mg/200			mg of entacapone		4,862	
18.75 mg/200 mg 100 mg/ 25 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline 0.5 mg tablet 7.0000 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555 (Azilect) 1 mg tablet	Entacapone	mg			daily in multiple			
mg 100 mg/ 25 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline (Azilect) 0.5 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555	(Stalevo)	75 mg/			doses			
100 mg/ 25 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline (Azilect) 0.5 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555		18.75 mg/200						
25 mg/200 mg 150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline (Azilect) 1 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555		mg						
150 mg/ 37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline 0.5 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555 (Azilect) 1 mg tablet		•						
37.5 mg/200 mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline 0.5 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555 (Azilect) 1 mg tablet								
mg MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD) Rasagiline 0.5 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555 (Azilect) 1 mg tablet 1 mg 1 mg								
MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD)Rasagiline0.5 mgtablet7.0000j0.5 to 1 mg daily7.002,555(Azilect)1 mgtablet		37.5 mg/200						
Rasagiline 0.5 mg tablet 7.0000 ^j 0.5 to 1 mg daily 7.00 2,555 (Azilect) 1 mg tablet								
(Azilect) 1 mg tablet	MAO-B Inhibitors (in Combination with Levodopa/Decarboxylase Inhibitor in APD)							
	_	_		7.0000 ^j	0.5 to 1 mg daily	7.00	2,555	
Selegiline 5 mg tablet 0.5021 5 mg twice daily 1.00 367	(Azilect)	1 mg	tablet					
	Selegiline	5 mg	tablet	0.5021	5 mg twice daily	1.00	367	
(Generics)	(Generics)							

APD = advanced Parkinson disease; COMT = catechol-O-methyltransferase; EPD = early Parkinson disease; MAO-B = monoamine oxidase B; ODB = Ontario Drug Benefit.

Source: Prices are from the ODB Formulary, November 2013, unless stated otherwise.

^aBased on product monograph.

^bManufacturer-submitted price.

^cThe 0.5 mg tablet is not a benefit of the ODB Formulary. However, the 1 mg tablet is scored.

^dThe maximum daily cost is for a 2.25 mg dose (9 tablets of 0.25 mg daily) or a dose of 3.75 mg (1 mg + 0.25 mg three times daily)

^eSaskatchewan Formulary, December 2013.

^fBased on clinical expert's feedback, and mean doses from clinical trials. ³⁻⁸

gThree doses of 2.25 mg.

^hThree doses of 3.375 mg.

ⁱEntacapone is indicated only as an adjunct to levodopa/carbidopa or levodopa/benserazide.

^jODB Exceptional Access Program (November 2013).

2. SUMMARY OF PHARMACOECONOMIC SUBMISSION

The manufacturer submitted two cost-minimization analyses:²

- The first analysis compared rotigotine with ropinirole and pramipexole as monotherapy for the treatment of EPD.
- The second analysis compared rotigotine as an adjunct to levodopa with ropinirole and pramipexole as an adjunct to levodopa for the treatment of APD.

For both EPD and APD, pramipexole and ropinirole were selected as the most appropriate comparators by the manufacturer, based on current treatment guidelines and clinical expert opinion.² The manufacturer noted that pramipexole should be considered the standard of care in both EPD and APD, based on Canadian utilization data provided in the manufacturer's submission, which showed that 79% of claims for DAs in Canada consisted of oral pramipexole (IMS Compuscript 2013).² The manufacturer assumed similar efficacy among rotigotine, pramipexole, and ropinirole, based on the results of two NMAs funded by the manufacturer: one for EPD (23 trials) and another one for APD (24 trials).⁹ A summary and critical appraisal of the NMAs is presented in the Common Drug Review (CDR) clinical report (Appendix 8). The cost analysis was conducted from the public payer perspective over a one-year time horizon. Only drug costs associated with maintenance phase of treatment were included in the analyses and were obtained from the Ontario Drug Benefit (ODB) Formulary (2013). Other direct costs were assumed to be the same for all comparators.

For EPD, in the base-case analysis, based on internal forecast assumptions for the distribution of daily doses of rotigotine and the manufacturer's assumption of comparable dosages for pramipexole and ropinirole, the manufacturer estimated the weighted average daily maintenance doses to be 5.00 mg, 1.88 mg, and 7.50 mg, respectively. Based on these estimates, the manufacturer reported that rotigotine (\$6.18 daily; \$2,257 annually) was 373% (\$1,652 annually) more expensive than pramipexole (\$1.66 daily; \$605 annually), and 442% (\$1,746 annually) more expensive than ropinirole (\$1.40 daily; \$511 annually). The manufacturer performed a sensitivity analysis using the average daily dose at the end of maintenance phase from a non-systematic selection of clinical trials of the three comparators. It was not explained why only some of the trials used for the NMAs were included in the sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis showed that rotigotine was 463% to 556% more expensive than pramipexole (incremental costs per year ranging from \$2,353 to \$3,236) and 306% to 390% more expensive than ropinirole (incremental costs per year ranging from \$2,133 to \$2,778).

Similarly for APD, the manufacturer estimated the weighted average daily maintenance doses to be 5.24 mg, 1.92 mg, and 7.86 mg, respectively. Based on these estimated doses, the manufacturer reported that rotigotine (\$6.40 daily; \$2,337 annually) was 386% (\$1,732 annually) more expensive than pramipexole (\$1.66 daily; \$605 annually), and 434% (\$1,798 annually) more expensive than ropinirole (\$1.48 per day; \$539 per year). The manufacturer performed a sensitivity analysis using the average daily dose at the end of maintenance phase from a non-systematic selection of clinical trials of the three comparators, and the sensitivity analysis showed that rotigotine was 692% to 715% more expensive than pramipexole (incremental costs per year ranging from \$4,306 to \$5,628) and 575% to 593% more expensive than ropinirole (incremental costs per year ranging from \$4,158 to \$5,439).

3. INTERPRETATIONS AND KEY LIMITATIONS

The following limitations with the manufacturer's analysis were noted:

- Generalizability of the NMA results to a population using lower doses of rotigotine: The manufacturer states that, according to a panel of Canadian physicians, the average daily dose of rotigotine observed in clinical trials would not reflect standard Canadian clinical practice. As stated in the manufacturer's pharmacoeconomic submission, p. 21 and 23, "the findings of the conducted MTC meta-analyses are generalizable to patients that share similar characteristics as those randomized in the included trials, and patients receiving similar doses of the considered interventions as those administered in the included trials." Considering that the manufacturer expects the average maintenance daily dose of rotigotine to be lower than that observed in clinical trials for EPD and APD, it is unclear if the results of the NMAs can be generalized and if similar efficacy of rotigotine with pramipexole and ropinirole can be assumed. Furthermore, it is unknown if the safety profile of rotigotine is similar to that of pramipexole and ropinirole, since no safety outcomes were assessed in the NMAs.
- Distribution of doses for rotigotine based on manufacturer's forecast assumptions instead of clinical trials: There is an important difference between the average doses of rotigotine derived from the manufacturer's forecast and the mean doses observed at the end of the maintenance phase in clinical trials. The manufacturer expects the average maintenance daily dose of rotigotine to be 5 mg in EPD (compared with 5.7 mg to 8 mg in the clinical trials, which represents a 12% to 37.5% difference) and 5.24 mg in APD (compared with 10 mg to 13 mg in the clinical trials, which represents a 46% to 60% difference). No information was provided to the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) on the methodology or sources used to obtain the rotigotine dose distribution. In the absence of utilization studies, mean doses from clinical trials provide useful information on doses that might be used in actual practice. The estimated average maintenance dose of rotigotine was likely underestimated and the manufacturer's sensitivity analyses based on average doses from clinical trials might better reflect the potential incremental cost of rotigotine compared with pramipexole and ropinirole.
- Underestimation of comparative dosage of rotigotine compared with pramipexole in APD: The manufacturer assumed that the comparative dose ratio for rotigotine and pramipexole is 2.666:1. However, in study SP515 (Poewe et al.³), the authors noted that the failure to show non-inferiority of rotigotine versus pramipexole for the responder rates might indicate the need for a higher dose of rotigotine versus pramipexole than reflected by the 4:1 ratio reached in this trial.³ Therefore, the estimated incremental cost of rotigotine compared with pramipexole in APD was likely underestimated (APPENDIX 1: COMMON DRUG REVIEW ANALYSIS FOR PRICE REDUCTION).
- Lack of consideration of the progressive nature of PD: It is unclear if the results of the NMAs, which were conducted for time intervals of 11 to 16 weeks and 24 to 28 weeks after completion of the titration period, can be generalized to one year. PD being a progressive condition, it is possible that the maintenance doses will increase over time, especially in advanced disease.

4. ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

- Utilization data from Ontario public plans showed that in July 2013 (latest data available at the time of the CDR review), 76% of claims for non-ergolinic DAs comprised pramipexole (Pharmastat data from IMS Health Canada Inc., 2013).
- A CDR analysis (APPENDIX 1: COMMON DRUG REVIEW ANALYSIS FOR PRICE REDUCTION) suggested
 that the price of rotigotine would need to be reduced by 51% to 88% to be equal to the average
 daily cost of generic pramipexole in EPD, and by 78% to 89% to be equal to the average daily cost of
 generic pramipexole in APD.

5. CONCLUSIONS

At recommended doses of rotigotine, the daily cost per patient ranges from \$3.54 to \$7.27 in EPD (2 mg per 24 hours to 8 mg per 24 hours), and \$6.50 to \$14.54 in APD (4 mg per 24 hours to 16 mg per 24 hours). Rotigotine is more expensive than generic pramipexole (\$0.79 to \$2.37 per patient per day) and generic ropinirole (\$0.85 to \$4.37 per patient per day), as well as other drugs used for the treatment of early or APD, such as oral levodopa-decarboxylase inhibitor combinations (\$0.84 to \$8.00 per patient per day), entacapone (\$0.40 to \$3.21 per patient per day), or MAO-B inhibitors (\$1.00 to \$7.00 per patient per day). Consequently, the listing of rotigotine would result in additional costs.

The expected average maintenance doses of rotigotine used in the manufacturer's base-case scenario were likely underestimated, especially in APD. A CDR analysis showed that the price of rotigotine would need to be reduced by 51% to 88% to be equal to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in EPD, and by 78% to 89% to be equal to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in APD.

APPENDIX 1: COMMON DRUG REVIEW ANALYSIS FOR PRICE REDUCTION SCENARIOS

A CDR analysis of utilization data from Ontario public plans showed that in July 2013 (latest data available at the time of the CDR review), 76% of claims for non-ergolinic DAs comprised pramipexole (Pharmastat data from IMS Health Canada Inc., 2013).

The manufacturer applied a 2.666:1 ratio between rotigotine and pramipexole doses, for both EPD and APD, based on the 2013 Human Drug Advisory Panel New Medicine Review report by the Patented Medicine Prices Review Board.²

In EPD, there are no randomized controlled trials that directly compare rotigotine to pramipexole. The clinical expert consulted for this review, as well as clinical trials in EPD, suggested that the average daily maintenance dose of pramipexole in EPD ranges between 1.5 mg and 3 mg. Applying the 2.666:1 ratio submitted by the manufacturer, CDR calculated the cost reduction that would be required to produce an average daily cost of rotigotine that would be equivalent to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in EPD. As shown in Table 2, the price of rotigotine would need to be reduced by 51% to 88% to be equal to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in EPD.

In APD, one double-blind randomized controlled trial directly compared rotigotine with pramipexole (SP515, Poewe et al.³). Rotigotine was non-inferior to pramipexole for the change in off time, but responder rates (proportion of patients with \leq 30% reduction in absolute off time per day) were greater in the pramipexole group, and rotigotine was not shown to be non-inferior to pramipexole for this end point.³ Mean doses at the start of the maintenance phase were 12.95 mg for rotigotine and 3.1 mg for pramipexole. As noted by the authors in the discussion section, "This finding, as well as the numerical differences in absolute off time reduction in favour of pramipexole, might indicate a somewhat higher equivalence dose for rotigotine versus pramipexole than reflected by the 4 to 1 ratio reached in this trial."

The clinical expert consulted for this review, as well as clinical trials in APD, ^{3,5} suggested that the average daily maintenance dose of pramipexole in APD ranges between 3 mg and 4 mg. CDR calculated the cost reduction that would be required to produce an average daily cost of rotigotine equivalent to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in APD. Two equivalence ratios were assessed to compare rotigotine to pramipexole: 2.666:1 (as submitted by the manufacturer), and 4:1 (as observed in SP515). As shown in Table 2, the price of rotigotine would need to be reduced by 78% to 89% to be equal to the average daily cost of generic pramipexole in APD.

TABLE 2: COMMON DRUG REVIEW ANALYSIS FOR PRICE REDUCTION SCENARIOS FOR ROTIGOTINE

Average Daily Dose of Pramipexole	Daily Cost of Pramipexole (Generics) (\$)	Equivalent Daily Dose of Rotigotine	Daily Cost of Rotigotine ^a (\$)	% Rotigotine Price Reduction Needed to Equal the Daily Cost of Pramipexole (\$ price)				
EPD								
Manufacturer's Base-Case Scenario Weighted dosing approach and 2.666:1 equivalence ratio between rotigotine and pramipexole doses								
1.88 mg	1.6600	5.00 mg	6.18	73% (\$1.66)				
CDR Analysis Average doses of pramipexole from clinical trials and clinical expert input, assuming a 2.666:1 equivalence ratio between rotigotine and pramipexole doses								
1.5 mg ^b (0.25 mg x 2 x 3) (0.5 mg x 3) (1 mg x 1.5)	1.5768 3.1542 0.7886	4 mg	6.50	76% (\$1.56) 51% (\$3.18) 88% (\$0.78)				
3 mg (3 x 1 mg)	1.5771	8 mg	7.27	78% (\$1.60)				
APD								
Manufacturer's Base-Case Weighted dosing approach		ce ratio between rotig	otine and pramipex	ole doses				
1.92 mg	1.6600	5.24 mg	6.40	74% (\$1.66)				
CDR Analysis Average doses of pramipexole from clinical trials and clinical expert input, assuming a 2.666:1 equivalence ratio between rotigotine and pramipexole doses								
3 mg (3 x 1 mg)	1.5771	8 mg	7.27	78% (\$1.60)				
3.75 mg (3 x 1.25 mg)	2.3655	10 mg (4 mg + 6 mg)	13.77	83% (\$2.34)				
CDR Analysis Average doses of pramipexole from clinical trials and clinical expert input, assuming a 4:1 equivalence ratio between rotigotine and pramipexole doses 3 mg (3 x 1 mg) 1.5771 12 mg 14.54 89% (\$1.60)								
3.75 mg (3 x 1.25 mg)	2.3655	(2 x 6 mg) 16 mg (2 x 8 mg)	14.54	84% (\$2.33)				

CDR = Common Drug Review; ODB = Ontario Drug Benefit.

Note: Pramipexole price (generics) is from the ODB Formulary, November 2013, except for the 0.5 mg tablet (Saskatchewan online formulary database, December 2013, \$1.0514 per 0.5 mg tablet).

^aManufacturer-submitted price.

^bThe 0.5 mg is not a benefit on the ODB Formulary. However, it is available in some provinces, such as Saskatchewan, Manitoba, and New Brunswick. The 1 mg tablet is scored and, therefore, 1.5 tablets of 1 mg per day (1/2 tablet x 3) could be used.

REFERENCES

- 1. Neupro (rotigotine) transdermal system [product monograph]. Oakville (ON): UCB Canada Inc.; 2013 Mar 19.
- Pharmacoeconomic evaluation. In: Neupro (rotigotine) transdermal system (patch); Company: UCB Canada Inc. [CONFIDENTIAL manufacturer's submission]. Oakville (ON): UCB Canada Inc.; 2013 Jul [cited 2013 Aug 29].
- 3. Poewe WH, Rascol O, Quinn N, Tolosa E, Oertel WH, Martignoni E, et al. Efficacy of pramipexole and transdermal rotigotine in advanced Parkinson's disease: a double-blind, double-dummy, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2007 Jun;6(6):513-20.
- 4. Barone P, Poewe W, Albrecht S, Debieuvre C, Massey D, Rascol O, et al. Pramipexole for the treatment of depressive symptoms in patients with Parkinson's disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2010 Jun;9(6):573-80.
- 5. Moller JC, Oertel WH, Koster J, Pezzoli G, Provinciali L. Long-term efficacy and safety of pramipexole in advanced Parkinson's disease: results from a European multicenter trial. Mov Disord. 2005 May;20(5):602-10.
- 6. Whone AL, Watts RL, Stoessl AJ, Davis M, Reske S, Nahmias C, et al. Slower progression of Parkinson's disease with ropinirole versus levodopa: The REAL-PET study. Ann Neurol. 2003 Jul;54(1):93-101.
- 7. Lieberman A, Olanow CW, Sethi K, Swanson P, Waters CH, Fahn S, et al. A multicenter trial of ropinirole as adjunct treatment for Parkinson's disease. Ropinirole Study Group. Neurology. 1998 Oct;51(4):1057-62.
- 8. Holloway RG, Shoulson I, Fahn S, Kieburtz K, Lang A, Marek K, et al. Pramipexole vs levodopa as initial treatment for Parkinson disease: a 4-year randomized controlled trial. Arch Neurol. 2004 Jul;61(7):1044-53.
- 9. Thorlund K, Mills E. Multiple treatment comparison meta-analysis Neupro (rotigotine) versus other non-ergot dopamine receptor agonists for the treatment of Parkinson's disease. In: CDR submission binder: Neupro (rotigotine) transdermal system (patch); Company: UCB Canada Inc. [CONFIDENTIAL manufacturer's submission]. Oakville (ON): UCB Canada Inc.; 2013 Jul.