

pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review
Patient Advocacy Group Feedback on a pCODR
Expert Review Committee Initial
Recommendation

Cobimetinib (Cotellic) for Metastatic Melanoma

Melanoma Network of Canada

June 30, 2016

Feedback on pERC Initial Recommendation

varric	or the drug	g indication(s):	Cotel	110					
lame (of register	ed patient adv	ocacy Melar	oma Netwo	rk of Canada				
	oCODR may contact this person if comments require clarification. Contact information will not e included in any public posting of this document by pCODR.								
1.1	Comments on the Initial Recommendation								
	-	indicate if the mendation:	patient advocacy	dvocacy group agrees or disagrees with the initial					
	X a	grees	agr	ees in part	disagree				
	Please explain why the patient advocacy group agrees, agrees in part or disagnith the initial recommendation.								
	We are pleased with the recommendation as presented. It provides another opti in our limited treatment portfolio. It has shown clear benefit and durable respo for some patients and is generally well tolerated and easily administered. b) Notwithstanding the feedback provided in part a) above, please indicate if the pat advocacy group would support this initial recommendation proceeding to final pER recommendation ("early conversion"), which would occur within 2(two) business d of the end of the consultation period.								
		pport conversion			Do not support conversion to firecommendation.				
		ecommendation	does not require			nal			
	re	consideration b			Recommendation should be reconsidered by pERC.	nal			
	c) Please or are	consideration b provide feedba the component	y pERC.	recommenda endation (e.	reconsidered by pERC. ation. Is the initial recommenda g., clinical and economic evider	tion			
	c) Please or are	consideration b provide feedba the component	y pERC. ack on the initial s of the recomme	recommenda endation (e.e. the reasons	reconsidered by pERC. ation. Is the initial recommenda g., clinical and economic evider s clear? s and Suggested Changes to	tion			
	c) Please or are clearly	provide feedbathe component worded? Is the	eck on the initial s of the recomme intent clear? Are Paragraph,	recommendation (e.g. the reasons	reconsidered by pERC. ation. Is the initial recommenda g., clinical and economic evider s clear? s and Suggested Changes to	tion			
	c) Please or are clearly	provide feedbathe component worded? Is the	eck on the initial s of the recomme intent clear? Are Paragraph,	recommendation (e.g. the reasons	reconsidered by pERC. ation. Is the initial recommenda g., clinical and economic evider s clear? s and Suggested Changes to	tion			

1.2 Comments Related to Patient Advocacy Group Input

Please provide feedback on any issues not adequately addressed in the initial recommendation based on patient advocacy group input provided at the outset of the

1

review on outcomes or issues important to patients that were identified in the submitted patient input. Please note that new evidence will be not considered during this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are providing is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR Secretariat.

Examples of issues to consider include: what are the impacts of the condition on patients' daily living? Are the needs of patients being met by existing therapies? Are there unmet needs? Will the agents included in this recommendation affect the lives of patients? Do they have any disadvantages? Stakeholders may also consider other factors not listed here.

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Comments related to initial patient advocacy group input

1.3 Additional Comments About the Initial Recommendation Document

Please provide any additional comments:

Page Number	Section Title	Paragraph, Line Number	Additional Comments
8	Need:Treatment and Improved Survival		We concur that it would be of great benefit to have clearer understanding of the proper sequencing of drug therapies for each individual. However, we also understand that at present, biomarkers and tests are not well understood and it is a complicated and highly mutated form of cancer. We hope the future will bring clarity and better testing to identify which therapy and in what sequence will work best for each patient and minimize the intervention required and provide even better results.
			We also concur that given that the majority of patients with unresectable advanced or metastatic melanoma still succumb to the disease, there is a need for more effective therapies that improve survival and duration of response and that have manageable toxicities.

About Completing This Template

pCODR invites those registered patient advocacy groups that provided input on the drug under review <u>prior</u> to deliberation by the pCODR Expert Review Committee (pERC), to also provide feedback and comments on the initial recommendation made by pERC. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for information regarding review status and feedback deadlines.)

As part of the pCODR review process, the pCODR Expert Review Committee makes an initial recommendation based on its review of the clinical, economic and patient evidence for a drug. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process.) The initial recommendation is then posted for feedback and comments from various stakeholders. The pCODR Expert Review Committee welcomes comments and feedback that will help the members understand why the patient advocacy groups agree or disagree with the initial recommendation. In addition, the members of pERC would like to know if there is any lack of clarity in the document and if so, what could be done to improve the clarity of the information in the initial recommendation. Other comments are welcome as well.

All stakeholders have 10 (ten) business days within which to provide their feedback on the initial recommendation and rationale. If all invited stakeholders, including registered patient advocacy groups, agree with the recommended clinical population described in the initial recommendation, it will proceed to a final pERC recommendation by 2 (two) business days after the end of the consultation (feedback) period. This is called an "early conversion" of an initial recommendation to a final recommendation.

If any one of the invited stakeholders does not support the initial recommendation proceeding to final pERC recommendation, pERC will review all feedback and comments received at the next possible pERC meeting. Based on the feedback received, pERC will consider revising the recommendation document as appropriate. It should be noted that the initial recommendation and rationale for it may or may not change following consultation with stakeholders.

The final pERC recommendation will be made available to the participating provincial and territorial ministries of health and cancer agencies for their use in guiding their funding decisions and will also be made publicly available once it has been finalized.

Instructions for Providing Feedback

- a) Only registered patient advocacy groups that provided input at the beginning of the review of the drug can provide feedback on the initial recommendation.
 - Please note that only one submission per patient advocacy group is permitted.
 This applies to those groups with both national and provincial / territorial offices; only one submission for the entire patient advocacy group will be accepted. If more than one submission is made, only the first submission will be considered.
 - Individual patients should contact a patient advocacy group that is representative of their condition to have their input added to that of the group. If there is no patient advocacy group for the particular tumour, patients should contact pCODR for direction at www.cadth.ca/pcodr.

- b) Feedback or comments must be based on the evidence that was considered by pERC in making the initial recommendation. No new evidence will be considered during this part of the review process; however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission.
- c) The template for providing pCODR Patient Advocacy Group Feedback on a pERC Initial Recommendation can be downloaded from the pCODR website. (See www.cadth.ca/pcodr for a description of the pCODR process and supporting materials and templates.)
- d) At this time, the template must be completed in English. Patient advocacy groups should complete those sections of the template where they have substantive comments and should not feel obligated to complete every section, if that section does not apply to their group. Similarly, groups should not feel restricted by the space allotted on the form and can expand the tables in the template as required.
- e) Feedback on the initial pERC recommendations should not exceed three (3) pages in length, using a minimum 11 point font on 8 ½" by 11" paper. If comments submitted exceed three pages, only the first three pages of feedback will be forwarded to the pERC.
- f) Feedback should be presented clearly and succinctly in point form, whenever possible. The issue(s) should be clearly stated and specific reference must be made to the section of the recommendation document under discussion (i.e., page number, section title, and paragraph). Opinions from experts and testimonials should not be provided. Comments should be restricted to the content of the initial recommendation.
- g) References to support comments may be provided separately; however, these cannot be new references. New evidence is not considered during this part of the review process, however, it may be eligible for a Resubmission. If you are unclear as to whether the information you are considering to provide is eligible for a Resubmission, please contact the pCODR Secretariat.
- h) The comments must be submitted via a Microsoft Word (not PDF) document by logging into www.cadth.ca/pcodr and selecting "Submit Feedback" by the posted deadline date.
- i) Patient advocacy group feedback must be submitted to pCODR by 5 P.M. Eastern Time on the day of the posted deadline.
- j) If you have any questions about the feedback process, please e-mail pcodrinfo@cadth.ca. For more information regarding patient input into the pCODR drug review process, see the pCODR Patient Engagement Guide. Should you have any questions about completing this form, please email pcodrinfo@cadth.ca

Note: Submitted feedback is publicly posted and also may be used in other documents available to the public. The confidentiality of any submitted information at this stage of the review cannot be guaranteed.