



CADTH Reference List

# Motorized Walking Assistive Devices for Impaired Mobility

May 2023

**Authors:** Candice Madakadze, Monika Mierzwinski-Urban

**Contributor:** Weiyi Xie

**Cite As:** *Motorized Walking Assistive Devices for Impaired Mobility*. (CADTH reference list: summary of abstracts). Ottawa: CADTH; 2023 May.

**Disclaimer:** The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up to date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of Health Canada, Canada's provincial or territorial governments, other CADTH funders, or any third-party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

**About CADTH:** CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

**Funding:** CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Questions or requests for information about this report can be directed to [requests@cadth.ca](mailto:requests@cadth.ca)

## Key Message

We found 3 randomized controlled trials and 5 nonrandomized studies about the clinical effectiveness of wearable motorized or robotic walking assistive devices for adults with impaired mobility.

## Research Question

What is the clinical effectiveness of wearable motorized or robotic walking assistive devices for adults with impaired mobility?

## Methods

### Literature Search Methods

An information specialist conducted a literature search on key resources including MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the International HTA Database, the websites of Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused internet search. The search approach was customized to retrieve a limited set of results, balancing comprehensiveness with relevancy. The search strategy comprised both controlled vocabulary, such as the National Library of Medicine's MeSH (Medical Subject Headings), and keywords. Search concepts were developed based on the elements of the research questions and selection criteria. The main search concepts were motorized walking assistive devices and impaired mobility. CADTH-developed search filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or indirect treatment comparisons, any types of clinical trials or observational studies. The search was completed on May 2, 2023, and limited to English-language documents published since January 1, 2018. Internet links were provided, where available.

### Selection Criteria and Summary Methods

One reviewer screened literature search results (titles and abstracts) and selected publications according to the inclusion criteria presented in [Table 1](#). Full texts of study publications were not reviewed. The Overall Summary of Findings was based on information available in the abstracts of selected publications.

**Table 1: Selection Criteria**

Criteria	Description
Population	Adults with impaired mobility
Intervention	Wearable motorized or robotic walking assistive devices
Comparator	Usual care (i.e., no use of wearable motorized or robotic walking assistive devices)
Outcomes	Clinical benefits (e.g., mobility, function, quality of life, patient satisfaction) and harms (e.g., adverse events)
Study designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, nonrandomized studies

## Results

Three randomized controlled trials<sup>1-3</sup> and 5 nonrandomized studies<sup>4-8</sup> were identified regarding the clinical effectiveness of wearable motorized or robotic walking assistive devices for adults with impaired mobility. No relevant health technology assessments or systematic reviews were identified.

Additional references of potential interest that did not meet the inclusion criteria are provided in [Appendix 1](#).

## Overall Summary of Findings

Three randomized controlled trials<sup>1-3</sup> and 5 nonrandomized studies<sup>4-8</sup> regarding the clinical effectiveness of wearable motorized or robotic walking assistive devices for adults with impaired mobility were identified.

One randomized controlled trial<sup>1</sup> found that there were no significant differences between patients training with the Hybrid Assistive Limb (HAL) and patients receiving conventional physical therapy, in the changes in Functional Independence Measure or Functional Ambulation Category motor subscores.<sup>1</sup> The study by Gryfe and associates<sup>2</sup> found that there were no significant differences in gait speed, balance, and quality of health outcomes related to Parkinson disease between patients who exercised with the bilateral exoskeleton and those who did not. A prospective cohort study on patients with advanced heart failure found that there were no significant differences in the rate of perceived exertion and dyspnea when patients trained with or without the exoskeleton Myosuit.<sup>4</sup> The study by Sanz- Morere and colleagues<sup>6</sup> found that walking improved after training with and without the exoskeleton. The study also found that when patients trained without the exoskeleton, they walked farther and increased their walking speed, although no comparison was made when patients trained with the exoskeleton.<sup>6</sup> When patients trained with the exoskeleton, the metabolic efficiency decreased.<sup>6</sup>

A randomized controlled trial conducted with patients with severe walking disability after having a stroke found that the Apathy Scale decreased for patients training with the HAL, while it increased for patients receiving conventional physical therapy.<sup>1</sup> A randomized controlled trial<sup>2</sup> with patients with Parkinson disease found that training with the exoskeleton yielded a significant improvement in cognitive and walking functions. Likewise, a randomized controlled trial<sup>3</sup> with patients receiving gait training after ischemic stroke found that the group receiving exoskeleton training demonstrated an improvement of more categories associated with functional status compared with the classic mediated gait training groups. Two nonrandomized studies<sup>5,8</sup> found that training with a robotic assistive device resulted in an improvement in mobility. The study by Russo and colleagues<sup>5</sup> found that in patients with multiple sclerosis, training with the exoskeleton resulted in a significant improvement in walking ability, walking speed, and balance. The Yoshikawa et al. study<sup>8</sup> found that walking speed and step length was greater in patients that trained with the HAL than in those who did not. In addition, a nonrandomized study with patients who had a stroke for the first time found that patients who trained with the HAL had higher Functional Independence Measure scores compared to those who underwent conventional physical therapy.<sup>7</sup>

There were no adverse events associated with the robotic assistive devices used for training.<sup>1,4,8</sup> The Gryfe et al. study with patients with Parkinson disease noted that no serious or unanticipated adverse events occurred.<sup>2</sup> Two nonrandomized studies<sup>4,5</sup> noted that patients were satisfied with the exoskeleton. A detailed summary of the identified studies can be found in [Table 2](#).

**Table 2: Summary of Included Clinical Effectiveness Studies**

Study citation	Study design, population	Intervention and comparators	Relevant outcomes	Author's conclusions
<b>Randomized controlled trials</b>				
Yokota et al. (2023) <sup>1</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> single centre RCT <b>Population:</b> Patients with severe walking disability after having their first stroke <b>N = 22</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Training with HAL <b>Comparator:</b> Conventional physical therapy	Primary outcomes: changes in motor subscores of Functional Independence Measure or Functional Ambulation Category at the end of the second assessment <sup>a</sup> from baseline, change in Apathy Scale, AEs	No significant differences in primary outcomes. At the second assessment, the Apathy Scale was decreasing in the HAL group, while increasing in the conventional physical group. No AE in the HAL group.
Gryfe et al. (2022) <sup>2</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> open-label, parallel pilot RCT <b>Population:</b> Adults with Parkinson disease <b>N = 40</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Bilateral exoskeleton <b>Comparators:</b> Exercise with no exoskeleton and waitlist control	Primary end point-change in cognitive function (SCOPA-COG) and mood Secondary end points: change in gait speed, 6MWT, freezing of gait, balance, and Parkinson disease specific health and quality of life outcomes, AEs	A significant improvement in the memory and learning domain of the SCOPA-COG and 6MWT were observed for the group who exercised with the exoskeleton compared to those who did not exercise with the exoskeleton and the waitlist control group. No significant between-group differences in gait speed, freezing of gait, balance, and Parkinson disease specific health and quality of life outcomes.  No serious or unanticipated AEs were observed.
Rojek et al. (2019) <sup>3</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> RCT <b>Population:</b> Patients who have had ischemic stroke <b>N = 44</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Ekso GT exoskeleton-assisted gait training <b>Comparator:</b> classical rehabilitation	Balance (using a stabilometric platform), load distribution (the Barthel Index), functional status (Rivermead Mobility Index)	In the group that received the Ekso GT exoskeleton, balance improved. Both forms of rehabilitation resulted in significant changes in functional status.  In the group that received exoskeleton gait training, improvement was observed in a larger number of categories, possibly having a greater impact on improving functional status.

Study citation	Study design, population	Intervention and comparators	Relevant outcomes	Author's conclusions
<b>Nonrandomized studies</b>				
Just et al. (2022) <sup>4</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> Prospective cohort study <b>Population:</b> Patients with advanced heart failure <b>N = 20</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Rehabilitation exercise with the exoskeleton-type robot Myosuit <b>Comparator:</b> Rehabilitation exercise without the Myosuit	Vital signs, AEs, rates of perceived exertion and dyspnea, ability to perform activities of daily life, ability to perform 60-minute rehabilitation exercise unit, individual acceptability	Mobilization with the Myosuit was feasible with or without minor support. No AEs occurred. There were no significant differences in the rates of perceived exertion and dyspnea with or without the device. Patients were satisfied with training with the Myosuit.
Russo et al. (2021) <sup>5</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> Retrospective cohort study <b>Population:</b> Patients with multiple sclerosis <b>N = 20</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Gait training with the Ekso-powered exoskeleton <b>Comparator:</b> Traditional gait training without Ekso	Changes in gait and balance, change in walking speed, perception of mental well-being, usability, acceptability	Both groups showed a significant improvement in the ability to walk and balance. The experimental group showed a significant improvement in walking speed, mobility, and perception of mental well-being. The experimental group showed good usability and acceptance of the device.
Sanz-Morere et al. (2021) <sup>6</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> Prospective cohort study <b>Population:</b> Patients who had a transfemoral amputation with different mobility levels <b>N = 7</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Training with an exoskeleton <b>Comparator:</b> Training without an exoskeleton	Performance on the 6MWT, spatiotemporal gait parameters, metabolic efficiency	Walking performance improved after the training. When patients (those who walked at maximal or self-selected) trained without the exoskeleton, they walked farther and increased their walking speed during the 6MWT. In the group training with the exoskeleton, the metabolic efficiency reduced.
Yokota et al. (2019) <sup>7</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> Prospective cohort study <b>Population:</b> Patients with first-ever stroke who needed a walking aid and were able to start training 1 week after stroke onset <b>N = 37</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Training with HAL <b>Comparator:</b> Conventional physical therapy	Functional Independence Measure	The Functional Independence Measure score was higher in the group who trained with the HAL compared to the conventional physical therapy group.

Study citation	Study design, population	Intervention and comparators	Relevant outcomes	Author's conclusions
Yoshikawa et al. (2018) <sup>8</sup>	<b>Study design:</b> Prospective cohort study  <b>Population:</b> Patients who had undergone total knee arthroplasty for arthritis <b>N = 9</b>	<b>Intervention:</b> Training with HAL  <b>Comparator:</b> Conventional training without HAL	Gait speed, step length, range of motion, muscle strength, and AEs	Patients training with HAL did not experience AEs. Walking speed and step length (for patients walking at the self-selected or maximum walking speed) were greater in the group training with HAL compared to the controls. The step length for the group walking at maximum speed was greater in the group training with HAL at 2, 4, and 8 weeks. Extension lag and knee pain was lower in the group training with HAL compared to the control group at 2 weeks. The muscle strength of knee extension was greater in the group training with HAL at 8 weeks.

6MWT = six-minute walk test; AE = adverse events; HAL = Hybrid Assistive Limb; RCT = randomized controlled trial; SCOPA-COG = Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson Disease-Cognition.

<sup>8</sup>Second assessment was conducted after the completion of 20 sessions of gait training.

## References

### Health Technology Assessments

No literature identified.

### Systematic Reviews

No literature identified.

### Randomized Controlled Trials

1. Yokota C, Tanaka K, Omae K, et al. Effect of cyborg-type robot Hybrid Assistive Limb on patients with severe walking disability in acute stroke: a randomized controlled study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* Apr 2023;32(4):107020. [PubMed](#)
2. Gryfe P, Sexton A, McGibbon CA. Using gait robotics to improve symptoms of Parkinson's disease: an open-label, pilot randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* Oct 2022; 58(5):723-737. [PubMed](#)
3. Rojek A, Mika A, Oleksy L, Stolarczyk A, Kielnar R. Effects of exoskeleton gait training on balance, load distribution, and functional status in stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Front Neurol.* 2019;10:1344. [PubMed](#)

### Nonrandomized Studies

4. Just IA, Fries D, Loewe S, et al. Movement therapy in advanced heart failure assisted by a lightweight wearable robot: a feasibility pilot study. *ESC Heart Fail.* 06 2022; 9(3):1643-1650. [PubMed](#)
5. Russo M, Maggio MG, Naro A, et al. Can powered exoskeletons improve gait and balance in multiple sclerosis? A retrospective study. *Int J Rehabil Res.* 06 01 2021;44(2):126-130. [PubMed](#)
6. Sanz-Morere CB, Martini E, Meoni B, et al. Robot-mediated overground gait training for transfemoral amputees with a powered bilateral hip orthosis: a pilot study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 07 03 2021;18(1):111. [PubMed](#)
7. Yokota C, Yamamoto Y, Kamada M, et al. Acute stroke rehabilitation for gait training with cyborg type robot Hybrid Assistive Limb: a pilot study. *J Neurol Sci.* Sep 15 2019;404:11-15. [PubMed](#)
8. Yoshikawa K, Mutsuzaki H, Sano A, et al. Training with Hybrid Assistive Limb for walking function after total knee arthroplasty. *J Orthop Surg Res.* Jul 03 2018;13(1):163. [PubMed](#)

## Appendix 1: References of Potential Interest

### Previous CADTH Reports

A robotic exoskeleton for gait rehabilitation after stroke. (*Health technology update no. 23*). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2019: <https://www.cadth.ca/health-technology-update-issue-23>. Accessed 2023 May 10.

Motorized walking devices for patients with compromised mobility: a review of clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and guidelines. (*CADTH Rapid response report: summary with critical appraisal*). Ottawa (ON): CADTH; 2019; <https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/htis/2019/RC1165%20walking%20assistive%20devices%20Final.pdf>. Accessed 2023 May 10.

### Health Technology Assessment

#### Population Age Not Specified

HTA Austria. Robotics and functional electrical stimulation for stroke rehabilitation; 2021. <https://aihta.at/page/exoskelette-und-funktionelle-elektrostimulation-fes-zur-verbesserung-der-funktionalitaet-der-oberen-und-unteren-extremitaeten-bei-patient-innen-mit-zentralen-insult-bedingten-laehmungen-eine-systematische-uebersichtsarbeit/en>. Accessed 2023 May 10.

### Systematic Reviews

#### Unclear Comparator

den Brave M, Beaudart C, de Noordhout BM, Gillot V, Kaux JF. Effect of robot-assisted gait training on quality of life and depression in neurological impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil*. 2023 Jan 22;2692155231152567. [PubMed](#)

Carmignano SM, Fundaro C, Bonaiuti D, et al. Robot-assisted gait training in patients with Parkinson's disease: implications for clinical practice. A systematic review. *NeuroRehabilitation*. 2022;51(4):649-663. [PubMed](#)

Rodriguez-Fernandez A, Lobo-Prat J, Font-Llagunes JM. Systematic review on wearable lower-limb exoskeletons for gait training in neuromuscular impairments. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 02 01 2021;18(1):22. [PubMed](#)

#### Population Age Not Specified

Hsu TH, Tsai CL, Chi JY, Hsu CY, Lin YN. Effect of wearable exoskeleton on post-stroke gait: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med*. Feb 2023; 66(1):101674. [PubMed](#)

Leow XRG, Ng SLA, Lau Y. Overground robotic exoskeleton training for patients with stroke on walking-related outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Mar 25 2023;25:25. [PubMed](#)

Yang FA, Lin CL, Huang WC, Wang HY, Peng CW, Chen HC. Effect of robot-assisted gait training on multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. Apr 2023;37(4):228-239. [PubMed](#)

Calafiore D, Negrini F, Tottoli N, Ferraro F, Ozyemisci-Taskiran O, de Sire A. Efficacy of robotic exoskeleton for gait rehabilitation in patients with subacute stroke: a systematic review. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. Feb 2022;58(1):1-8. [PubMed](#)

Kim C & Kim HJ. Effect of Robot-assisted wearable exoskeleton on gait speed of post-stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of a randomized controlled trials. *Phys Ther Rehabil Sci*. 2022;11(4):471-477. <https://www.jptrs.org/journal/view.html?doi=10.14474/ptrs.2022.11.4.471>. Accessed 2023 May 10.

Yoo JI, Oh MK, Lee SU, Lee CH. Robot-assisted rehabilitation for total knee or hip replacement surgery patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Oct 07 2022;101(40):e30852. [PubMed](#)

Calabro RS, Cassio A, Mazzoli D, et al. What does evidence tell us about the use of gait robotic devices in patients with multiple sclerosis? A comprehensive systematic review on functional outcomes and clinical recommendations. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. Oct 2021;57(5):841-849. [PubMed](#)

#### Mixed Population — Children and Adults with Impaired Mobility

Bunge LR, Davidson AJ, Helmore BR, et al. Effectiveness of powered exoskeleton use on gait in individuals with cerebral palsy: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16(5):e0252193. [PubMed](#)

### **Mixed Intervention**

- Nedergard H, Arumugam A, Sandlund M, Brandal A, Hager CK. Effect of robotic-assisted gait training on objective biomechanical measures of gait in persons post-stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 04 16 2021;18(1):64. [PubMed](#)
- Maranesi E, Riccardi GR, Di Donna V, et al. Effectiveness of intervention based on end-effector gait trainer in older patients with stroke: a systematic review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 08 2020;21(8):1036-1044. [PubMed](#)
- Mehrholz J, Thomas S, Kugler J, Pohl M, Elsner B. Electromechanical-assisted training for walking after stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 10 22 2020;10(10):CD006185. [PubMed](#)

### **Randomized Controlled Trials**

#### **Alternative Comparators**

- Edwards DJ, Forrest G, Cortes M, et al. Walking improvement in chronic incomplete spinal cord injury with exoskeleton robotic training (WISE): a randomized controlled trial. *Spinal Cord*. 06 2022;60(6):522-532. [PubMed](#)

#### **Population Age Not Specified**

- Kawashima N, Hasegawa K, Iijima M, et al. Efficacy of wearable device gait training on Parkinson's disease: a randomized controlled open-label pilot study. *Intern Med*. Sep 01, 2022;61(17):2573-2580. [PubMed](#)
- Ozsoy-Unubol T, Ata E, Cavlak M, Demir S, Candan Z, Yilmaz F. Effects of robot-assisted gait training in patients with multiple sclerosis: a single-blinded randomized controlled study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 08 01 2022;101(8):768-774. [PubMed](#)
- McGibbon C, Sexton A, Jayaraman A, et al. Evaluation of a lower-extremity robotic exoskeleton for people with knee osteoarthritis. *Assist Technol*. 09 03 2022;34(5):543-556. [PubMed](#)
- Androwis GJ, Sandroff BM, Niewrzol P, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial of robotic exoskeleton-assisted exercise rehabilitation in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. Jun 2021;51:102936. [PubMed](#)
- Li DX, Zha FB, Long JJ, Liu F, Cao J, Wang YL. Effect of robot assisted gait training on motor and walking function in patients with subacute stroke: a random controlled study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. Jul 2021;30(7):105807. [PubMed](#)
- McGibbon C, Sexton A, Gryfe P, et al. Effect of using of a lower-extremity exoskeleton on disability of people with multiple sclerosis. *Disabil*. Jan 27, 2021;1-8. [PubMed](#)
- Xiang XN, Zong HY, Ou Y, et al. Exoskeleton-assisted walking improves pulmonary function and walking parameters among individuals with spinal cord injury: a randomized controlled pilot study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 05 24 2021;18(1):86. [PubMed](#)
- Mustafaoglu R, Erhan B, Yeldan I, Gunduz B, Tarakci E. Does robot-assisted gait training improve mobility, activities of daily living and quality of life in stroke? A single-blinded, randomized controlled trial. *Acta Neurol Belg*. Apr 2020;120(2):335-344. [PubMed](#)
- Nam YG, Park JW, Lee HJ, et al. Further effects of electromechanically assisted gait trainer (Exowalk R) in patients with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med*. Sep 08 2020;52(9): jrm00097. [PubMed](#)
- Capecci M, Pournajaf S, Galafate D, et al. Clinical effects of robot-assisted gait training and treadmill training for Parkinson's disease. A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med*. Sep 2019;62(5):303-312. [PubMed](#)
- Jayaraman A, O'Brien MK, Madhavan S, et al. Stride management assist exoskeleton vs functional gait training in stroke: a randomized trial. *Neurology*. 01 15 2019; 92(3):e263-e273. [PubMed](#)
- Lee HJ, Lee SH, Seo K, et al. Training for walking efficiency with a wearable hip-assist robot in patients with stroke: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Stroke*. 12 2019;50(12):3545-3552. [PubMed](#)
- Nam YG, Lee JW, Park JW, et al. Effects of electromechanical exoskeleton-assisted gait training on walking ability of stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 01 2019;100(1):26-31. [PubMed](#)
- Szczesny-Kaiser M, Trost R, Aach M, Schildhauer TA, Schwenkreis P, Tegenthoff M. A randomized and controlled crossover study investigating the improvement of walking and posture functions in chronic stroke patients using HAL exoskeleton - the HALESTRO study (HAL-Exoskeleton STROke Study). *Front Neurosci*. 2019;13:259. [PubMed](#)

Chang SH, Afzal T, Berliner J, Francisco GE. Exoskeleton-assisted gait training to improve gait in individuals with spinal cord injury: a pilot randomized study. *Pilot Feasibility Stud.* 2018;4:62. [PubMed](#)

McGibbon CA, Sexton A, Jayaraman A, et al. Evaluation of the Keeogo exoskeleton for assisting ambulatory activities in people with multiple sclerosis: an open-label, randomized, cross-over trial. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 12 12 2018;15(1):117. [PubMed](#)

### **Mixed Intervention**

Berriozabalgoitia R, Bidaurrazaga-Letona I, Otxoa E, Urquiza M, Irazusta J, Rodriguez-Larrad A. Overground robotic program preserves gait in individuals with multiple sclerosis and moderate to severe impairments: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 05 2021;102(5):932-939. [PubMed](#)

Molteni F, Guanziroli E, Goffredo M, et al. Gait recovery with an overground powered exoskeleton: a randomized controlled trial on subacute stroke subjects. *Brain sci.* Jan 14, 2021;11(1):14. [PubMed](#)

Nakajima T, Sankai Y, Takata S, et al. Cybernetic treatment with wearable cyborg Hybrid Assistive Limb (HAL) improves ambulatory function in patients with slowly progressive rare neuromuscular diseases: a multicentre, randomised, controlled crossover trial for efficacy and safety (NCY-3001). *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* 07 07 2021;16(1):304. [PubMed](#)

Palmcrantz S, Wall A, Vreede KS, et al. Impact of intensive gait training with and without electromechanical assistance in the chronic phase after stroke—a multi-arm randomized controlled trial with a 6 and 12 months follow up. *Front Neurosci.* 2021;15:660726. [PubMed](#)

Calabro RS, Naro A, Russo M, et al. Shaping neuroplasticity by using powered exoskeletons in patients with stroke: a randomized clinical trial. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 04 25 2018;15(1):35. [PubMed](#)

### **Mixed Population – Children and Adults With Impaired Mobility**

Klobucka S, Klobucky R, Kollar B. Effect of robot-assisted gait training on motor functions in adolescent and young adult patients with bilateral spastic cerebral palsy: a randomized controlled trial. *NeuroRehabilitation.* 2020;47(4):495-508. [PubMed](#)

### **Alternative Outcomes – Physiological Response During Robot-Assisted Gait Training**

Lefebvre N, De Keersmaecker E, Henderix S, Michielsens M, Kerckhofs E, Swinnen E. Physiological responses and perceived exertion during robot-assisted and body weight-supported gait after stroke. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 12 2018;32(12):1043-1054. [PubMed](#)

## **Nonrandomized Studies**

### **Mixed Intervention – Robot-assisted Gait Training With Inpatient Therapy**

Moll F, Kessel A, Bonetto A, et al. Safety and feasibility of robot-assisted gait training in adults with cerebral palsy in an inpatient setting - an observational study. *J.* Feb 11 2023;1-16. [PubMed](#)

### **Alternative Comparators**

Neves MVM, Furlan L, Fregni F, Battistella LR, Simis M. Robotic-assisted gait training (RAGT) in stroke rehabilitation: a pilot study. *Arch Rehabil Res Clin Transl.* Mar 2023;5(1):100255. [PubMed](#)

Tefertiller C, Hays K, Jones J, et al. Initial outcomes from a multicenter study utilizing the Indego powered exoskeleton in spinal cord injury. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil.* 2018;24(1):78-85. [PubMed](#)

### **Before-After Comparison**

Park GM, Cho SH, Hong JT, Kim DH, Shin JC. Effects and safety of wearable exoskeleton for robot-assisted gait training: a retrospective preliminary study. *J.* Apr 18, 2023;13(4):18. [PubMed](#)

Wright MA, Herzog F, Mas-Vinyals A, et al. Multicentric investigation on the safety, feasibility and usability of the ABLE lower-limb robotic exoskeleton for individuals with spinal cord injury: a framework towards the standardisation of clinical evaluations. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* Apr 12 2023;20(1):45. [PubMed](#)

Hoyer E, Opheim A, Jorgensen V. Implementing the exoskeleton Ekso GTM for gait rehabilitation in a stroke unit - feasibility, functional benefits and patient experiences. *Disabil.* 05 2022;17(4):473-479. [PubMed](#)

- Morioka H, Hirayama T, Sugisawa T, et al. Robot-assisted training using hybrid assistive limb ameliorates gait ability in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Clin Neurosci*. May 2022;99:158-163. [PubMed](#)
- Sakel M, Saunders K, Hodgson P, et al. Feasibility and safety of a powered exoskeleton for balance training for people living with multiple sclerosis: a single-group preliminary study (Rapper III). *J Rehabil Med*. Dec 09 2022;54:jrm00357. [PubMed](#)
- van Nes IJW, van Dijksseldonk RB, van Herpen FHM, Rijken H, Geurts ACH, Keijsers NLW. Improvement of quality of life after 2-month exoskeleton training in patients with chronic spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med*. Apr 04, 2022;1-7. [PubMed](#)
- Druzbecki M, Guzik A, Przsada G, et al. Effects of robotic exoskeleton-aided gait training in the strength, body balance, and walking speed in individuals with multiple sclerosis: a single-group preliminary study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 02 2021;102(2):175-184. [PubMed](#)
- Huizenga D, Rashford L, Darcy B, et al. Wearable gait device for stroke gait rehabilitation at home. *Top Stroke Rehabil*. 09 2021;28(6):443-455. [PubMed](#)
- Kerdran J, Previnaire JG, Tucker M, et al. Evaluation of safety and performance of the self balancing walking system Atalante in patients with complete motor spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord Ser Cases*. 08 04 2021;7(1):71. [PubMed](#)
- Kim HS, Park JH, Lee HS, et al. Effects of wearable powered exoskeletal training on functional mobility, physiological health and quality of life in non-ambulatory spinal cord injury patients. *J Korean Med Sci*. Mar 29 2021;36(12): e80. [PubMed](#)
- Koljonen PA, Virk AS, Jeong Y, et al. Outcomes of a multicenter safety and efficacy study of the SuitX Phoenix powered exoskeleton for ambulation by patients with spinal cord injury. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:689751. [PubMed](#)
- Postol N, Grissell J, McHugh C, Bivard A, Spratt NJ, Marquez J. Effects of therapy with a free-standing robotic exoskeleton on motor function and other health indicators in people with severe mobility impairment due to chronic stroke: a quasi-controlled study. *J Rehabil Assist Technol Eng*. Jan-Dec 2021;8:20556683211045837. [PubMed](#)
- Yao J, Sado T, Wang W, et al. The Kickstart Walk Assist system for improving balance and walking function in stroke survivors: a feasibility study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 02 24 2021;18(1):42. [PubMed](#)
- Yun SJ, Lee HH, Lee WH, Lee SH, Oh BM, Seo HG. Effect of robot-assisted gait training on gait automaticity in Parkinson disease: a prospective, open-label, single-arm, pilot study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Feb 05 2021;100(5):e24348. [PubMed](#)
- Delgado AD, Escalon MX, Bryce TN, Weinrauch W, Suarez SJ, Kozlowski AJ. Safety and feasibility of exoskeleton-assisted walking during acute/sub-acute SCI in an inpatient rehabilitation facility: a single-group preliminary study. *J Spinal Cord Med*. 09 2020;43(5):657-666. [PubMed](#)
- Goffredo M, Guanziroli E, Pournajaf S, et al. Overground wearable powered exoskeleton for gait training in subacute stroke subjects: clinical and gait assessments. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. Dec 2019;55(6):710-721. [PubMed](#)
- Khan AS, Livingstone DC, Hurd CL, et al. Retraining walking over ground in a powered exoskeleton after spinal cord injury: a prospective cohort study to examine functional gains and neuroplasticity. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 11 21 2019;16(1):145. [PubMed](#)
- Lerner ZF, Harvey TA, Lawson JL. A battery-powered ankle exoskeleton improves gait mechanics in a feasibility study of individuals with cerebral palsy. *Ann Biomed Eng*. Jun 2019;47(6):1345-1356. [PubMed](#)
- Tanaka H, Nankaku M, Nishikawa T, et al. Spatiotemporal gait characteristic changes with gait training using the hybrid assistive limb for chronic stroke patients. *Gait Posture*. 06 2019;71:205-210. [PubMed](#)
- Bach Baunsgaard C, Vig Nissen U, Katrin Brust A, et al. Gait training after spinal cord injury: safety, feasibility and gait function following 8 weeks of training with the exoskeletons from Ekso Bionics. *Spinal Cord*. 02 2018;56(2):106-116. [PubMed](#)
- Baunsgaard CB, Nissen UV, Brust AK, et al. Exoskeleton gait training after spinal cord injury: an exploratory study on secondary health conditions. *J Rehabil Med*. Sep 28 2018;50(9):806-813. [PubMed](#)
- Lyp M, Stanislawski I, Witek B, Olszewska-Zaczek E, Czarny-Dzialak M, Kaczor R. Robot-assisted body-weight-supported treadmill training in gait impairment in multiple sclerosis patients: a pilot study. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2018;1070:111-115. [PubMed](#)
- Sale P, Russo EF, Scarton A, Calabro RS, Masiero S, Filoni S. Training for mobility with exoskeleton robot in spinal cord injury patients: a pilot study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. Oct 2018;54(5):745-751. [PubMed](#)

Wu CH, Mao HF, Hu JS, Wang TY, Tsai YJ, Hsu WL. The effects of gait training using powered lower limb exoskeleton robot on individuals with complete spinal cord injury. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 03 05 2018;15(1):14. [PubMed](#)

### **Population Age Not Specified**

Pournajaf S, Calabro RS, Naro A, et al. Robotic versus conventional overground gait training in subacute stroke survivors: a multicenter controlled clinical trial. *J*. Jan 05, 2023;12(2):05. [PubMed](#)

Fukaya T, Mutsuzaki H, Yoshikawa K, Koseki K, Iwai K. Effect of training with the hybrid assistive limb on gait cycle kinematics after total knee arthroplasty. *Geriatr*. 2021;12:21514593211049075. [PubMed](#)

Koseki K, Mutsuzaki H, Yoshikawa K, et al. Early recovery of walking ability in patients after total knee arthroplasty using a hip-wearable exoskeleton robot: a case-controlled clinical trial. *Geriatr*. 2021;12:21514593211027675. [PubMed](#)

Postol N, Spratt NJ, Bivard A, Marquez J. Physiotherapy using a free-standing robotic exoskeleton for patients with spinal cord injury: a feasibility study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 12 25 2021;18(1):180. [PubMed](#)

Awad LN, Esquenazi A, Francisco GE, Nolan KJ, Jayaraman A. The ReWalk ReStore TM soft robotic exosuit: a multi-site clinical trial of the safety, reliability, and feasibility of exosuit-augmented post-stroke gait rehabilitation. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 06 18 2020;17(1):80. [PubMed](#)

Ii T, Hirano S, Tanabe S, et al. Robot-assisted gait training using Welwalk in hemiparetic stroke patients: an effectiveness study with matched control. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. Dec 2020;29(12):105377. [PubMed](#)

Taki S, Imura T, Iwamoto Y, et al. Effects of exoskeletal lower limb robot training on the activities of daily living in stroke patients: retrospective pre-post comparison using propensity score matched analysis. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis*. Oct 2020;29(10):105176. [PubMed](#)

### **Mixed Population – Children and Adults With Impaired Disability**

Karunakaran KK, Ehrenberg N, Cheng J, Bentley K, Nolan KJ. Kinetic gait changes after robotic exoskeleton training in adolescents and young adults with acquired brain injury. *Appl Bionics Biomech*. 2020;2020:8845772. [PubMed](#)

Xiang XN, Ding MF, Zong HY, et al. The safety and feasibility of a new rehabilitation robotic exoskeleton for assisting individuals with lower extremity motor complete lesions following spinal cord injury (SCI): an observational study. *Spinal Cord*. Jul 2020;58(7):787-794. [PubMed](#)

Ueno T, Watanabe H, Kawamoto H, et al. Feasibility and safety of Robot Suit HAL treatment for adolescents and adults with cerebral palsy. *J Clin Neurosci*. Oct 2019;68:101-104. [PubMed](#)

### **Alternative Outcomes – Psychosocial Impact of Robot-Assisted Gait Training**

Fundaro C, Giardini A, Maestri R, Traversoni S, Bartolo M, Casale R. Motor and psychosocial impact of robot-assisted gait training in a real-world rehabilitation setting: a pilot study. *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13(2): e0191894. [PubMed](#)

### **Review Articles**

Hsu CY, Cheng YH, Lai CH, Lin YN. Clinical non-superiority of technology-assisted gait training with body weight support in patients with subacute stroke: a meta-analysis. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med*. Nov 2020;63(6):535-542. [PubMed](#)

### **Additional References**

#### **Case Series**

Miura K, Koda M, Tamaki K, et al. Exercise training using hybrid assistive limb (HAL) lumbar type for locomotive syndrome: a pilot study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Jun 12, 2021;22(1):533. [PubMed](#)

McIntosh K, Charbonneau R, Bensaada Y, Bhatiya U, Ho C. The safety and feasibility of exoskeletal-assisted walking in acute rehabilitation after spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 01 2020;101(1):113-120. [PubMed](#)

Kubota S, Abe T, Kadone H, et al. Hybrid assistive limb (HAL) treatment for patients with severe thoracic myelopathy due to ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) in the postoperative acute/subacute phase: a clinical trial. *J Spinal Cord Med*. 07 2019;42(4):517-525. [PubMed](#)